

**ADJUSTABLE EXERCISE BELL**

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5        The present invention relates to exercise equipment. More particularly, this invention pertains to an adjustable kettlebell of an improved, compact design that can employ standard barbell plates.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In the sequel, "dumbbell" defines an exercise device with two weight sections  
10 connected by a handle section, while "kettlebell" defines a single mass section (often spheroidal) attached to single looped handle section. A typical kettlebell design is shown in Figure 3. While many exercises can be performed with both kettlebells and dumbbells, some motions are more comfortably performed with a kettlebell or provide a unique benefit that is distinct from the nearest dumbbell equivalent. However, several kettlebell  
15 exercises such as the one arm clean (OAC) and the one arm snatch (OAS) result in the kettlebell bashing against the user's forearm. The impact force is confined to a relatively small contact area, and bruising and discomfort can often result.

### Limitations of Existing Adjustable Kettlebell Designs

In both the OAS and OAC exercise motions, a solid kettlebell provides a rounded  
20 surface that distributes both the impact and resting load of the kettlebell's mass. The primary disadvantage of a solid kettlebell design is that several kettlebells of varying weights are required to accommodate a range of exercises and strength levels. Previous

adjustable kettlebell designs have had deficiencies in that they employ nonstandard weights and/or fail to provide adequate roundedness and comfort in the final

- 5 configuration. The following review of the deficiencies in the prior art will outline the need for improvements benefits provided by the present invention.

The first adjustable kettlebell design, CALVERT (described U.S. Patent No. 1,316,683. issued to Milo Barbell Company), is shown in Figure 1. It comprises a handle attached to an outer shell surrounding a set of specialize weights. The following features  
10 and deficiencies of the design used in CALVERT are addressed by the improvements of the present invention. In CALVERT, (1) non-standard weight plates are required; and (2) the handle clearance, the distance between the handle and the point of contact between the forearm and the mass section, is fixed. To highlight the commercial disadvantage of requiring specialized weight plates, it should be noted that this design was manufactured  
15 and marketed by the Milo Barbell Company, which eventually went bankrupt and was resurrected by Bob Hoffman as the York Barbell Company in 1935.

Another adjustable type of kettlebell design is WOOD (described in U.S. Patent No. 1,917,566 issued to Robert Alfred Wood), several variations of which are shown in Figure 2 in this application. WOOD describes four major configurations of prior art  
20 designs. In Figures 1 and 2 (of U.S. Patent No. 1,917,566), WOOD describe a design with an accommodation for standard barbell plates inside of an outer shell. In Figure 3, it shows the two cups of the outer shell with the edges presented outwards. In, Figure 4 WOOD shows a continuous stack of plates secured by collars to a bar, all within the

confines of the attachment member. Figure 5 of WOOD shows a modified form of the handle, assembled with several of the discs at each end of the bell configuration, the cup members being omitted.

WOOD does not reveal or describe several important features, namely, (1) a configuration that approximates the smooth surfaces found in the solid forged kettlebell without requiring a separate outer shell member; (2) a configuration where plates of the weight stack straddle the attachment members; (3) a configuration free of stop collars which avoids wide, awkward protrusions; and (4) a "solid" configuration that prevents sway of the main weight section w.r.t. the handle, along with an attachment mechanism that provides for an adjustable handle clearance. While WOOD contemplates a configuration with a solid handle (a wire bail), it does not describe a means to combine the solid handle with an adjustable handle clearance. Furthermore, adding additional chain links to the configurations described by WOOD will only increases the relative sway.

Another design for an adjustable kettlebell, GRACE (Gracefitness), reference to which was found at [www.gracefitness.com/blackiron/kettlebell.htm](http://www.gracefitness.com/blackiron/kettlebell.htm)., is shown in Figure 6. It shows a gnurled handle, a set of specialized bevel discs, and a set of twisted steel bars functioning as a means of attachment between the weight discs and the gnurled handle. The following features and deficiencies of the GRACE design are addressed by the improvements of the present invention. As shown in Figure 6, GRACE requires (1) specialized beveled plates are required to form the rounded surface near the line of

contact; (2) that the handle clearance is a fixed distance from the contact point; and (3) the plate axis is perpendicular the handle's axis, hence adjusting the number of weights

5 changes the point of contact.

Two more adjustable designs that use standard barbell plates, shown in Figures 4 and 5, are manufactured by Piedmont Design Associates (PDA), references to which were found at

[www.fractionalplates.com/cgibin/edatcat/PDAstore.cgi?user\\_action=detail&catalogno=](http://www.fractionalplates.com/cgibin/edatcat/PDAstore.cgi?user_action=detail&catalogno=)

10 10.5. The deficiencies of the PDA designs are as follows: (1) the use of retaining collars results in significant gaps in the spacing of the weight plates that straddle the attachment member; (2) the use of retaining collars results in significant protrusions on the outside of the bell configuration; (3) the handle clearance between the handle and the bar is fixed.

Another kettlebell design by IRONMIND (Ironmind), reference to which was  
15 found at

[www6.mailordercentral.com/ironmind/prodinfo.asp?number=1275&variation=&aitem=4&mitem=5](http://www6.mailordercentral.com/ironmind/prodinfo.asp?number=1275&variation=&aitem=4&mitem=5), is shown in Figure 7. It shows the plate axis to be perpendicular to the

handle axis, but requires careful consideration of the weight stack configuration to avoid having the weight plate edges come to rest with the forearm during some exercises. This  
20 design and instructions from manufacturers indicate their awareness of the shortcomings of this arrangement and discourage all exercise motions that involve the weight resting on the forearms.

## SUMMARY AND OBJECT OF THE INVENTION

In light of the deficiencies, shortcomings and drawbacks of the known kettlebell designs, it is therefore an object to provide an improved kettlebell weightlifting device which includes an adjustable configuration that provides for a plurality of standard weight discs forming a weight stack with a central plate axis.

It is further object of the current invention to provide a supporting bar aligned along the plate axis, this axis being nominally parallel to the axis of the grip section of the handle.

It is another object of the current invention to provide a rounded grip section that affords comforting grip to the user.

It is also an object of the current invention to provide an attachment members that afford significantly reduced gaps between weight discs that straddle said attachment sections.

It is further desirable that these attachment members are structured as to provide an adjustable distance between the grip section and the plate axis.

These and other objectives, characteristics and advantages of the present invention will be disclosed in more detail with reference to the attached drawings.

20

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates a prior adjustable kettlebell design described in the U.S. Patent No. 1,316,683. issued to Milo Barbell Company, referred to as CALVERT herein.

Figure 2 illustrates a prior adjustable kettlebell design described in the U.S. Patent No. 1,917,566 issued to Robert Alfred Wood, referred to as WOOD herein.

5       Figure 3 illustrates a prior basic kettlebell design.

Figures 4 and 5 illustrate two prior adjustable designs that are manufactured by Piedmont Design Associates, referred to as PDA herein.

Figure 6 illustrates another prior design, referred to as GRACE (Gracefitness) herein.

10      Figure 7 illustrates another prior design, referred to as IRONMIND herein.

Figure 8 is a partially exploded elevation view of one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 9 is an elevation view of the present invention highlighting the flexure of the attachment structure.

15      Figure 10 is a side view of one embodiment of the present invention, showing the rounded handle, weight discs, and the "forearm".

Figure 11 is a schematic representation of the present invention, showing three additional configurations in accordance with the current invention, showing a narrow configuration with large plates and hemispherical end caps in Figure 11a, a narrow configuration with small plates and hemispherical end caps in Figure 11b, and a narrow configuration with small plates, hemispherical end caps and a protective band in Figure 11c.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Figure 8 shows an elevation view of one embodiment of the present invention in partial disassembly. A curved and rounded handle assembly is comprised of a grip section 1 and a thin, at attachment member or "blade" 14 . The "blade" 14 intersects the stack of disc weights 18 with a minimal gap 16 introduced between weight plates. The subassembly of the bolts 34, 35, washers 91 and the elongated nut 28 forming a support bar 70 for the weight stack.

10 In this particular embodiment, an elongated hexnut 28 with a captive washer 90 welded to one end, is passed through a central disc stack 96 from the left until the captive washer 90 meets the outside face of the left blade, while the right bolt 35 is passed through an outside washer 91 and rightmost weight assembly 92 and threaded into the hexnut 28 from the right, and the left bolt 34 is passed through the leftmost weight assembly 94 and threaded into 28 from the left. As the bolts are tightened down, the gap 88 between the outside weight assemblies 94 and 92 and center weight stack 96 reduces to the thickness of the attachment members 14 - the final configuration forming a single, physically tight assembly. The subassembly of the bolts 34, 35 and the elongated hexnut 28 forming a support bar 70 assembly for the weight stack. Section AA #1 shows the cross section of the blades 14 with distinct holes 20 for different positions of the bar. Section AA #2 shows the cross section of the blades 14 with a scalloped hole pattern 22 that provide for a finer adjustment of support bar positions. The adjustable hand clearance 98 feature is demonstrated with

the center line 24 aligned with holes AA #1 , and the center line 25 aligned with sections AA #2. Distinct holes 20, or small nibs 23 in the hole pattern 22

5 can provide a hard mechanical stop for the hexnut 28. Alternately, the design can rely solely on the friction of a tightened support bar to set and maintain a specific handle clearance 98 .

I In Figure 8, the support bar 70 is comprised of the left bolt 34, elongated nut 28 with captive washer 90 and right bolt 35. With various combinations of outside 10 bolts (34 and 35) and a 4" long hexnut 28, the length of the support bar can safely span a range of 4" to 10". Other features of this invention may include the following additional elements:

1) since the captive washer 90 provides access to the internal thread of the hexnut 28, the right weight assembly 92 can be modified without rearranging either the 15 core 96 or the left weight assembly 94;

2) additional combinations of inside and outside bolts can provide additional lengths, if

necessary;

3) either or both outside weight assemblies may be omitted.

20 Alternately, the support bar can be configured as a variety of other mechanical arrangements that effectively result in a shaft of adjustable length. Moreover, a support bar can be comprised of a solid or hollow tube along with standard securing mechanisms,

such as spring, spiral clamps or large pitch spiral threads, with the proviso that such configurations can introduce additional protrusions past the outside weight assemblies.

5 By defining an attachment member 10 with various hole configurations of 20 or, 22 (see Figure 8) the present invention allows for an adjustment of the distance between the 4 support bar 70 axis 24 and the grip axis, and provides the key improvement that the user can adjust the optimum contact point 32 independently of the diameter of the weight plates that are used.

10 Figure 9 illustrates another aspect of the present invention. The blade section 14 is part of a single piece of bent spring material 74 (preferably spring steel), that is embedded in a partially hollow grip-section 1 with outside profile 82. A bar 74 is bent to a profile that fits snugly against the internal webs 80 of an otherwise hollow grip section 1 which could be constructed by joining two halves of either stamped metal 15 or molded material (for example, ABS plastic). The internal webs 80 function to secure part of the bar member 74 between pivot points 76. Below the pivot points 76 , there is an internal clearance 98 that allows the bar 74 to flex within the hollow grip-section 1.

As the bolt 35 is threaded against the elongated hexnut 28, the blades 14 20 flex into a new profile 78. Additionally, if the nominal hex pattern 22 is cut into the blade attachment members 14, then the hexnut 28 can be restrained from spinning even if the core weight stack 96 width is greater than the hexnut's length. This safety

feature prevents the inadvertent loosening of one bolt while the user tightens the other bolt. Additionally, by setting the pivot point 76 far from the bottom of the grip-section 1,

5 the present invention achieves greatly increased mechanical compliance of the blades 14, allowing the blades to clamp tightly against a central plate assembly 96 of vary width without excessive stress being placed on the blades or the grip-section 1.

Figure 10 shows the side view of the kettlebell configuration, with a grip-section 1 leading into the attachment member blades 14 that intersperse the weight stack. The

10 inner stack of weights is comprised of larger weight discs 18 (e.g., 10 pounds), while the outer edges of the stack are comprised of smaller weight discs 17 (e.g., 5 pounds). The forearm 40 is shown in schematic representation along with the range of motion 30 of the forearm.

In this view, the contact point 32 between the forearm 40 and the weight stack

15 shows the critical nature of the hand clearance (the distance between the support bar axis 24 and the grip axis). If this clearance is too small then the weight's leverage against the wrist can impose excessive pressure at the contact point. If this clearance is too large, then the flipping action (described in the OAS and OAC motions above) of the

20 kettlebell allows for excessive acceleration of the weight stack prior to terminating at the contact point 32 .

Figure 11 shows some additional configurations of the present invention. Configuration 64 in Figure 11a shows a weight stack comprised of four ten pound discs 18 along with hemispherical end caps 48 all aligned on centerline axis 44. The

hemispherical end caps 48 would have a deep countersunk hole that would allow for bolt head and support bar (not shown in this drawing) to pass through the majority of the

5 hemispherical end cap. The final configuration nearly approximates the hemispherical shape of the original solid kettlebell. The hemispherical end caps could be made of any material. A metal would provide additional weight, while caps preferably made of plastic, foam, wood or other inexpensive material afford the shape without the weight or requirement for a specialized forging.

10 Configuration 66 in Figure 11b, shows a weight stack comprised of four 5 pound discs 17 along with smaller hemispherical end caps 49 all aligned on centerline axis 46. Substantially less weight is involved (20 vs 40 pounds), but the optimal contact point is preserved by 5 adjusting the centerline 46. The smaller hemispherical end caps 49 have all the characteristics of their larger counterparts 48 in configuration 64.

15 Configuration 68 in Figure 11c, shows a weight stack comprised of four 5 pound discs 17 along with the larger hemispherical end caps 48, and a protective band 50 around the weight stack, all aligned on centerline axis 44. The weight stack of 17, spherical end caps 48 and protective band 50 comprising an entirely spheroidal shape of relatively low weight and large size, are envisioned for the less aggressive user. The hemispherical 20 caps and/or protective band can be manufactured out of a dense shock absorbing foam for additional comfort and protection.

While there have been shown and described, pointed fundamental novel features of the invention as applied to embodiments thereof, it will be understood that various

omissions and substitutions and changes in the form and details of the invention, as  
herein disclosed, may be made by those skilled in the art without departing from the spirit

5      of the invention. In particular all weights and dimensions introduced in the specification  
were presented for illustrative purposes, and variations in said weights and dimensions  
are anticipated and will not affect the utility of the present invention. It is expressly  
intended that all combinations of those elements and/or method steps which perform  
substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve the same results  
10     are within the scope of the invention. It is the intention, therefore to be limited only as  
indicated by the scope of the claims appended hereto.